

Before the  
**Federal Communications Commission**  
Washington, D.C. 20554

In re Petition of	)	CSR-_____E
	)	
	)	<b>PSID No. 003451</b>
Time Warner Cable Inc.	)	
For Determination of	)	Ashland, City of KY0106
Effective Competition	)	Bellefonte, City of KY0100
	)	Boyd County KY0103, KY0175
	)	Flatwoods, City of KY0101
	)	Greenup County KY0702
	)	Raceland, City of KY0102
	)	Russell, City of KY0099
	)	Worthington, City of KY0104

To: Chief, Media Bureau

**PETITION FOR SPECIAL RELIEF**

Time Warner Cable Inc. ("Time Warner Cable"), by its attorneys, and pursuant to Sections 76.7, 76.905(b) and 76.907 of the Commission's rules,<sup>1</sup> hereby petitions the Commission for a finding that Time Warner Cable's cable television system serving the above-captioned communities (unless otherwise noted, individually "Franchise Area" and collectively "Franchise Areas") is subject to effective competition<sup>2</sup> and therefore exempt from any rate regulation imposed pursuant to Section 623 of the Communications Act of 1934 (the "Act").<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> 47 C.F.R. §§ 76.7, 76.905(b), 76.907.

<sup>2</sup> Pursuant to Section 76.910 of the Commission's rules, rate regulatory authority may be exercised only by a local franchising authority ("LFA") that has been properly certified. 47 C.F.R. § 76.910. To the extent that any political subdivision covered by this petition is an LFA and has been certified to regulate rates in accordance with the Commission's rules, Time Warner Cable respectfully requests that the Commission revoke such certification pursuant to Section 76.914(c). 47 C.F.R. § 76.914(c). To the extent that franchising responsibilities of any political subdivision covered by this petition have been reassigned to another governmental body, e.g., pursuant to legislation providing for state-issued franchises, then that political subdivision is no longer an LFA and obviously would no longer have rate regulatory authority. In such event, Time Warner Cable is nevertheless seeking an effective competition determination to achieve the full competitive flexibility associated therewith.

<sup>3</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 543. Time Warner Cable requests that, consistent with Commission precedent, any FCC grant of effective competition in the Franchise Areas be effective as of the date of filing of this petition. See, e.g., *Altrio Communications, Inc. v. Adelphia Communications Corporation*, 17 FCC Rcd 22955, ¶ 5 (Med. Bur. 2002)

## I. TIME WARNER CABLE SATISFIES THE “50/15” OR “COMPETING PROVIDER TEST” IN SIX OF THE FRANCHISE AREAS

Pursuant to Section 623(a)(2) of the Act,

[i]f the Commission finds that a cable system is subject to effective competition, the rates for the provision of cable service by such system shall not be subject to regulation by the Commission or by a State or franchising authority under this section.<sup>4</sup>

The Act further provides that a cable system will be considered subject to effective competition (and therefore exempt from rate regulation) under the “50/15” test if, *inter alia*, the franchise area is:

- (i) served by at least two unaffiliated multichannel video programming distributors each of which offers comparable video programming to at least 50 percent of the households in the franchise area; and
- (ii) the number of households subscribing to programming services offered by multichannel video programming distributors other than the largest multichannel video programming distributor exceeds 15 percent of the households in the franchise area.<sup>5</sup>

As demonstrated below, effective competition exists in six of the Franchise Areas (Ashland, Bellefonte, Flatwoods, Raceland, Russell and Worthington) because competing multichannel video programming distributors (“MVPDs”), including direct-to-home (“DTH”) satellite providers such as DirecTV<sup>6</sup> and DISH Network<sup>7</sup> (collectively the “DBS Providers”), are available to more than 50 percent, and are subscribed to by more than 15 percent, of the households in such areas.

---

(Commission order released September 26, 2002 found that Adelphia was subject to effective competition in the Arcadia, California franchise area as of October 1, 2001).

<sup>4</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 543(a)(2).

<sup>5</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 543(l)(1)(B); *see also* 47 C.F.R. § 76.905(b)(2).

<sup>6</sup> DirecTV is a registered trademark of DirecTV, Inc.

<sup>7</sup> DISH Network is a registered trademark of EchoStar Communications Corporation.

#### **A. Competing MVPD Services Are “Offered” in the Franchise Areas.**

According to the Commission’s rules, an MVPD’s service is deemed to be “offered” for purposes of effective competition:

(1) When the multichannel video programming distributor is physically able to deliver service to potential subscribers, with the addition of no or only minimal additional investment by the distributor, in order for an individual subscriber to receive service; and (2) When no regulatory, technical or other impediments to households taking service exist, and potential subscribers in the franchise area are reasonably aware that they may purchase the services of the multichannel video programming distributor.<sup>8</sup>

As demonstrated below, the DBS providers “offer” service in the Franchise Areas under this definition.

##### **1. The DBS Providers are “Physically Able” to Offer Service to Subscribers in the Franchise Areas.**

The Commission has repeatedly determined that DBS service is technically available throughout the continental United States due to its nationwide satellite footprint.<sup>9</sup> Therefore, the DBS Providers are “physically able” to offer service to subscribers in the Franchise Areas.

##### **2. No Regulatory, Technical or Other Impediments to Households Taking the DBS Providers’ Services Exist.**

The DBS providers’ services are deemed to be technically available in a franchise area if the footprints of their satellites cover the franchise area and there are no local regulations prohibiting reception by home satellite dishes.<sup>10</sup> As noted above, DBS services have been determined by the Commission to be available throughout the United States. Time Warner Cable is aware of no zoning restrictions in the Franchise Areas that would prevent potential subscribers from placing a small dish on their houses or on their properties in order to receive

---

<sup>8</sup>47 C.F.R. § 76.905(e).

<sup>9</sup> See, e.g., *Bright House Networks, LLC, Petition for Determination of Effective Competition*, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 22 FCC Rcd 4390, ¶ 6 (Med. Bur. 2007) (“Bright House Networks”).

<sup>10</sup>Implementation of Sections of the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992: Rate Regulation, 8 FCC Rcd 5631, ¶ 32 (1993) (“Rate Order”).

DBS service. Indeed, it would appear that any such restriction would violate Section 207 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and Section 25.104 of the FCC's rules promulgated thereunder.<sup>11</sup> Further, the DBS providers do not need franchises to offer service to residents in the Franchise Areas. As such, there are no regulatory, technical or other impediments to households taking the DBS providers' service.

**3. Potential Subscribers in the Franchise Areas are "Reasonably Aware" That They May Purchase the DBS Providers' Services.**

In light of the Commission's prior findings regarding the ubiquitous availability of DBS service, and in recognition of the DBS Providers' extensive national, regional and local advertising and marketing efforts through television and radio, Internet, print media and direct marketing, potential subscribers throughout the Franchise Areas are undoubtedly "reasonably aware" of the availability of the DBS Providers' services.<sup>12</sup> In addition, the Commission has held that the DBS Providers' extensive nationwide subscribership and growth in recent years, combined with a local DTH penetration of more than 15 percent in any given franchise area, is an accurate sign that potential subscribers within that franchise area are "reasonably aware" of the availability of the DBS Providers' services.<sup>13</sup>

---

<sup>11</sup>Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996); *see* 47 C.F.R. § 25.104.

<sup>12</sup> The DBS Providers maintain comprehensive websites, [www.dishnetwork.com](http://www.dishnetwork.com) and [www.directv.com](http://www.directv.com), where consumers can learn more about local retail outlets and how to buy the necessary equipment online or through a toll-free number. *See Rate Order* at n. 104 ("[W]e believe that regional or local marketing, such as by a national or regional 800 telephone number, would suffice."). *See also id.* at ¶ 29; *Adelphia Cable Communications*, 20 FCC Rcd 20487, ¶ 6 (Med. Bur. 2005) ("*Adelphia Effective Competition Order*") (There is "no reason to require needlessly fractionalized marketing in order to ensure that a national or regional programming service is available in a particular community... [P]otential subscribers may be made reasonably aware of the availability of a competing service... through advertising in regional or local media, direct mail, or *any other* marketing outlet" (citing *Rate Order* at ¶ 29) (emphasis in original)).

<sup>13</sup> Indeed, the Commission has "found households in a franchise area to be reasonably aware that they may purchase DBS service solely based on evidence of DBS's nationwide growth and local subscriptions, without reference to advertising or other promotion." *Bright House Networks* at ¶ 6 (referencing *Adelphia Communications, et al., Nineteen Unopposed Petitions for Determination of Effective Competition in Forty-Seven Local Franchise Areas*, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 20 FCC Rcd 7503, ¶ 3 (Med. Bur. 2005)).

Here, the presence of numerous subscribers of the DBS Providers' services in the Franchise Areas, as shown below, demonstrates that such individuals are all obviously aware of their ability to obtain service from a DBS Provider. Moreover, it is reasonable to assume the awareness of the availability of the DBS Providers' services only continues to increase as additional DBS dishes are prominently installed throughout the Franchise Areas.

Because the three factors described above have been satisfied, the DBS Providers "offer" competing MVPD services in the Franchise Areas.

#### **B. The DBS Providers Offer "Comparable Multichannel Video Programming."**

Effective competition exists where programming offered by an MVPD competitor is deemed "comparable" to the programming offered by the unaffiliated cable operator.<sup>14</sup> The programming offered by a competing MVPD is deemed "comparable" if it includes "at least 12 channels of video programming, including at least one channel of nonbroadcast service programming."<sup>15</sup> The Commission's decisions have repeatedly concluded that the DBS Providers satisfy § 76.905(g)'s comparable programming criterion.<sup>16</sup>

The programming offered by DirecTV and Dish Network, listings of which are available at [www.directv.com](http://www.directv.com) and [www.dishnetwork.com](http://www.dishnetwork.com),<sup>17</sup> includes many of the same popular

---

<sup>14</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 543(l)(1)(B)(i).

<sup>15</sup> 47 C.F.R. § 76.905(g).

<sup>16</sup> See *ACC Cable Communications, FLA-VA, LLC*, 18 FCC Rcd 7110, ¶ 6 (Med. Bur. 2003) (Town of Lake Waccamaw and Town of Tabor City, North Carolina) ("...the Commission has repeatedly concluded that the programming of DBS providers, such as DIRECTV and Dish, satisfy the Commission's [comparable] programming criterion"); *Adelphia Effective Competition Order* at ¶ 7 ("Because different sets of rules govern which stations cable operators and DBS service providers can or must carry, we have never insisted on absolute parity in station offerings. Instead, an MVPD offers 'comparable programming' if it offers 'at least 12 channels of video programming, including at least one channel of nonbroadcast service programming'"); *Time Warner Entertainment-Advance/Newhouse Partnership*, 20 FCC Rcd 15709, n. 15 (Med. Bur. 2005) (Nineteen California Franchise Areas) ("the DBS providers offer well over 100 channels, most of which are non-broadcast channels," which satisfies the comparable programming criterion).

<sup>17</sup> See *The Helicon Group, L.P.*, 17 FCC Rcd 16636, n. 8 (Med. Bur. 2002) (Barnet, Vermont) ("While Charter did not provide in its Petition a copy of EchoStar's nationwide channel lineup, which is otherwise available at [www.dishnetwork.com](http://www.dishnetwork.com), we have consistently found that the programming of both DBS providers satisfies the programming compatibility component of the competing provider effective competition test.").

nonbroadcast and broadcast programming services available on Time Warner Cable’s system serving the Franchise Areas. The DBS Providers’ programming lineups amply demonstrate that at least twelve channels of video programming are offered, including at least one channel of nonbroadcast programming service.<sup>18</sup> Thus, the DBS Providers offer “comparable” multichannel video programming, as defined by the Commission, to actual and potential subscribers in the Franchise Areas.

**C. The DBS Providers Offer Comparable Multichannel Video Programming to More Than 50 Percent of the Households in the Franchise Areas.**

As noted above, at least one MVPD unaffiliated with the incumbent cable operator must offer comparable video programming to at least 50 percent of the households in a franchise area for the first prong of the 50/15 effective competition test to be met.<sup>19</sup> In numerous effective competition decisions, the Commission has concluded that the DBS Providers are deemed to satisfy this 50 percent threshold due to their nationwide satellite footprints.<sup>20</sup> Accordingly, since the DBS Providers offer comparable programming to greater than 50 percent of the households in the Franchise Areas, the first prong of the 50/15 effective competition test is satisfied.

**D. The Number of Households Subscribing to the Programming Services of Competing MVPDs Exceeds 15 Percent of the Households in the Six Franchise Areas.**

The subscriber base of any MVPD or MVPDs,<sup>21</sup> other than the largest MVPD, must exceed 15 percent of the households in a franchise area to meet the second prong of the 50/15 test. As demonstrated below, Time Warner Cable’s cable system meets this threshold with

---

<sup>18</sup> The DBS Providers satisfy the program comparability standard regardless of whether they provide local-into-local service to the Franchise Area. *See Falcon Telecable*, 17 FCC Rcd 22842, ¶ 4 (Med. Bur. 2002) (Four Texas Communities) (“[T]he Commission’s effective competition program comparability standard does not include a local television programming component.”).

<sup>19</sup> See 47 C.F.R. § 76.905(b)(2)(i).

<sup>20</sup> See note 9.

<sup>21</sup> See *Time Warner Entertainment Co., L.P., et al. v. FCC*, 56 F.3d 151 (D.C. Cir. 1995) (holding that the subscribership of all MVPDs, other than the largest MVPD, may be aggregated to satisfy the 15 percent threshold). See also 47 C.F.R. § 76.905(f).

respect to each of the six communities.<sup>22</sup> Time Warner Cable is the largest MVPD in each of these Franchise Areas because it has the most video subscribers in each community.

Time Warner Cable has used the ZIP+4 methodology previously approved by the Commission in numerous decisions to calculate the DBS Providers' subscribership in the six Franchise Areas.<sup>23</sup> Attached as Exhibit A is a report from Media Business Corp. ("MBC") which has identified all of the ZIP+4 zip codes that are encompassed, in whole or in part, by each of these six Franchise Areas by using mapping software based on data derived from the U.S. Census Bureau and the U.S. Postal Service. Attached as Exhibit B are the Census 2010 occupied household figures for each of these Franchise Areas. Attached as Exhibit C are reports from the Satellite Broadcasting Communications Association ("SBCA"), which has been charged with the task of providing the required DBS Provider combined subscriber data for effective competition purposes, providing DBS Provider subscriber counts for each Franchise Area and each of the identified ZIP+4 zip codes. Based on this data and summarized in the following table, the DBS providers' subscriber penetration levels clearly exceed 15% in each of the six Franchise Areas.

Community	DBS Provider Subscribership	2010 Census Occupied Households	DBS Provider Penetration
Ashland, City of	2,275	9,486	23.81%
Bellefonte, City of	63	344	18.31%
Flatwoods, City of	949	3,349	30.72%
Raceland, City of	282	1,129	27.84%
Russell, City of	389	1,473	28.75%
Worthington, City of	218	635	34.33%

---

<sup>22</sup> See attached Declaration of Ed Kozelek, Regional Vice President of Governmental Relations – Midwest for Time Warner Cable.

<sup>23</sup> See, e.g., *Marcus Cable Associates, LLC d/b/a Charter Communications, Inc.*, DA 02-2174, 17 FCC Rcd 16652 (Media Bureau 2002) (Denton, Texas), aff'd 18 FCC Rcd 9762 (Media Bureau 2003); see also *Vicksburg Video, Inc. d/b/a Wehco Video, Inc.*, DA 02-2176, 17 FCC Rcd 16659 (Media Bureau 2002) (Vicksburg, Mississippi); *Kilgore Video, Inc. d/b/a Wehco Video, Inc.*, DA 02-2177, 17 FCC Rcd 16662 (Media Bureau 2002) (Kilgore, Texas); *Twelve Oregon Cities Order*.

Consequently, Time Warner Cable has demonstrated that the second prong of the 50/15 effective competition test has been met for each of these Franchise Areas.

**II. TIME WARNER CABLE ALSO SATISFIES THE “LOW PENETRATION” EFFECTIVE COMPETITION TEST IN BOYD AND GREENUP COUNTIES.**

In unincorporated Boyd and Greenup Counties, Time Warner Cable requests that the Commission additionally deem Time Warner Cable subject to effective competition under the separate “low penetration” effective competition test. Pursuant to Section 623(l)(1)(A) of the Act, effective competition exists under the low penetration test where “fewer than 30 percent of the households in the franchise area subscribe to the cable service of a cable system.”<sup>24</sup> Time Warner Cable currently serves only 2,791 of the 9,541 (29.25%) occupied households in Boyd County and only 330 of the 6,909 (4.78%) occupied households in Greenup County, which in both cases is fewer than 30 percent of the total number of households there. Thus, Time Warner Cable has demonstrated that it is subject to effective competition in under the low penetration test for effective competition.

**CONCLUSION**

Because Time Warner Cable has demonstrated that it is subject to effective competition pursuant to Section 623(l)(1) of the Act and Section 76.905(b) of the Commission’s rules for the above captioned Franchise Areas, Time Warner Cable respectfully requests that the Commission expeditiously find that Time Warner Cable’s cable system serving the Franchise Areas is not subject to rate regulation as to basic cable service or other forms of rate regulation specified in 47 U.S.C. § 543 and revoke the LFAs’ certification to regulate basic rates as appropriate.

Undersigned counsel has read the foregoing Petition, and to the best of such counsel’s knowledge, information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, it is well grounded in fact

---

<sup>24</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 543(l)(1)(A); *see also* 47 C.F.R. § 76.905(b)(1).

and is warranted by existing law or a good faith argument for the extension, modification or reversal of existing law, and is not interposed for any improper purpose.

Respectfully submitted,

**TIME WARNER CABLE INC.**

By:

  
Craig A. Gilley

**EDWARDS WILDMAN PALMER LLP**  
1255 23rd Street, N.W.  
Eighth Floor  
Washington, D.C. 20037  
(202) 478-7370

Its Attorneys

Dated: July 2, 2012

**DECLARATION**

I, Edward Kozelek, hereby declare under penalty of perjury that:

1. I am the Regional Vice President of Government Relations – Midwest for Time Warner Cable, the operator of the cable system that serves the specific Franchise Areas involved in the foregoing Petition for Special Relief (“Petition”).
2. I have read the foregoing Petition and am familiar with the contents thereof and the matters referred to therein.
3. I have reviewed Time Warner Cable’s respective cable subscriber numbers for each of the communities involved in the Petition, as well as the DBS subscriber numbers provided by SBCA and allocated to each as described in the Petition. Time Warner Cable is the largest multichannel video program provider serving each of the Franchise Areas.
4. The facts contained within the Petition are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

*Ed Kozelek*

Edward Kozelek

Date: 6/26/12

## **EXHIBIT A**

### **Media Business Corp. Zip Code Identification Report**

# Franchise & ZIP+4 Identification

## Data Documentation

Franchise: Cable operator's franchised service area

*Franchise boundary file vintage : County Jun 2011 (source : Dynamap), MCD Jun 2011 (source : Dynamap), Place Jun 2011 (source : Dynamap)*  
*Vintage is the currency of the data as of a specific date and does not correspond exactly to the time of product release because of data-to-product production time.*

FIPS: One of a series of codes, issued by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), assigned for the purpose of ensuring uniform identification of geographic entities throughout all Federal Government programs and agencies.

Each officially recognized Census place has its own unique 5-10 digit identifier. For more information, please see  
<http://www.census.gov/geo/www/fips/fips.html>

County: Census county in which the franchise resides

State: State in which the franchise resides

ZIP: United States Postal Service 5-digit ZIP code that covers, either in whole or in part, the cable operator's franchise area.

*ZIP Code boundary file vintage : Sept 2011 (source : Dynamap)*

*Vintage is the currency of the data as of a specific date and does not correspond exactly to the time of product release because of data-to-product production time.*

PLUS4: USPS +4 extension to the 5-digit ZIP code. Using geocoding technology, and using only +4s that could be determined to be entirely within the cable operator's franchise area, MBC determined that each of the ZIP+4s on the tab(s) included in this repo

ZIP4: 5-Digit USPS ZIP code and 4-digit extension.

*ZIP+4 boundary file vintage : Sept 2011 (source : Dynamap)*

*Vintage is the currency of the data as of a specific date and does not correspond exactly to the time of product release because of data-to-product production time.*

USPS Record Type: H - high-rise; F - firm; S - street; R - rural route/highway contract; P - post office box; G - general delivery.

\* Type H Records (High Rises, Buildings, Apartments) are assigned a ZIP+4 centroid based on the actual address. If a ZIP+4 centroid cannot be assigned, a ZIP+2 or 5-digit ZIP centroid is assigned.

\* Type F Records (Firms) are assigned a ZIP+4 centroid based on the actual address. If a ZIP+4 centroid cannot be assigned, a ZIP+2 or 5-digit centroid is assigned.

\* Type S Records (Streets) are assigned a ZIP+4 centroid that falls on an address range. If a ZIP+4 centroid cannot be assigned, a ZIP+2 or 5-digit centroid is assigned.

\* Type R Records (Rural Routes) are assigned ZIP+2 centroids if possible. In cases where this is not possible, a 5-digit centroid is assigned.

\* Type P (P.O. Box) and Type G (General Delivery Records) are assigned a 5-digit centroid.

Centroid Type: Each record includes a centroid type code that indicates whether the ZIP+4 has been assigned a ZIP+4, ZIP+2 or 5-digit ZIP centroid.

\* ZIP+4 - 1

\* ZIP+2 - 2

\* 5-digit ZIP - 3

\* None - 0

Census 2010 HHS: Count of Census 2010 households by community. For Counties and Minor Civil Divisions (MCDs), HHS reflect count of community minus any incorporated areas within the larger franchise.

















Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6321	411016325
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6321	411016326
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6327	411016327
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6328	411016328
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6329	411016329
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6330	411016330
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6331	411016331
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6332	411016332
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6333	411016333
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6334	411016334
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6335	411016335
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6336	411016336
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6339	411016339
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6340	411016340
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6355	411016355
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6356	411016356
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6357	411016357
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6358	411016358
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6359	411016359
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6360	411016360
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6361	411016361
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6362	411016362
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6363	411016363
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6364	411016364
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6365	411016365
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6366	411016366
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6367	411016367
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6368	411016368
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6402	411016402
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6403	411016403
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6404	411016404
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6405	411016405
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6406	411016406
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6407	411016407
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6408	411016408
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6409	411016409
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6410	411016410
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6411	411016411
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6412	411016412
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6413	411016413
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6414	411016414
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6414	411016415
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6416	411016416
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6417	411016417
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6418	411016418
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6419	411016419
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6420	411016420
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6421	411016421
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6422	411016422
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6423	411016423
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6424	411016424
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6425	411016425
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6426	411016426
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6427	411016427
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6428	411016428
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6429	411016429
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6430	411016430
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41101 6431	411016431









Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5339	411025339
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5340	411025340
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5341	411025341
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5342	411025342
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5343	411025343
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5344	411025344
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5345	411025345
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5346	411025346
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5347	411025347
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5348	411025348
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5349	411025349
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5350	411025350
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5351	411025351
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5352	411025352
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5353	411025353
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5354	411025354
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5355	411025355
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5356	411025356
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5401	411025401
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5402	411025402
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5403	411025403
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5404	411025404
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5405	411025405
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5406	411025406
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5407	411025407
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5408	411025408
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5409	411025409
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5410	411025410
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5411	411025411
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5412	411025412
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5413	411025413
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5414	411025414
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5415	411025415
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5416	411025416
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5417	411025417
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5418	411025418
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5419	411025419
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5420	411025420
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5421	411025421
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5422	411025422
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5423	411025423
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5424	411025424
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5425	411025425
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5426	411025426
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5427	411025427
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5428	411025428
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5429	411025429
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5430	411025430
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5431	411025431
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5432	411025432
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5433	411025433
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5434	411025434
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5435	411025435
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5436	411025436
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5437	411025437
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5438	411025438
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5439	411025439
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5441	411025441
Ashland	2102368 Boyd	KY	41102 5443	411025443



























## **EXHIBIT B**

### **2010 Census Household Population**

GCT-PL2 - Kentucky: Population and  
Housing Occupancy Status: 2010 - State --  
Place  
2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public  
Law 94-171) Summary File

NOTE: For information on confidentiality  
protection, nonsampling error, and  
definitions, see  
<http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2010/pl94-171.pdf>

NOTE: Change to the  
California, Connecticut, Mississippi, New  
Hampshire, Virginia, and Washington P. L.  
94-171 Summary Files as delivered.

Geographic area	Total	Housing units		
		Total	Occupied	Vacant
Kentucky	4,339,367	1,927,164	1,719,965	207,199
Ashland city	21,684	10,584	9,486	1,098
Bellefonte city	888	373	344	29
Flatwoods city	7,423	3,349	3,089	260
Raceland city	2,424	1,129	1,013	116
Russell city	3,380	1,473	1,353	120
Worthington city	1,609	681	635	46

H3: OCCUPANCY STATUS - Universe: Housing units  
2010 Census Summary File 1

Note: This is a modified view of the original table.

NOTE: For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see  
<http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2010/doc/sf1.pdf>

Geography	Total:
	Occupied Households
Uninc. Boyd County, Kentucky	2791
Uninc. Greenup County, Kentucky	6909
Uninc. Laurel County, Kentucky	19684
Uninc. Webster County, Kentucky	2531

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census.